Delegated Decision Notification (DDN)

This form is used both to give notice of an officer's intention to make a key decision and to record any delegated decision which has been taken. The decision set out on this form therefore reflects the decision that it is intended to be made, or that has been made. Although set out in the past tense a decision for which notice is being given may be subject to amendment or withdrawal.

| Lood directoris | Diversion of Communities and Environment | | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Lead director ⁱ : | Director of Communities and Environment | | | |
| | | | | |
| Subject ⁱⁱ : | Request to waive contract procedure rules in respect of the procurement of Traffic Management services at Black Music Festival & Leeds West Indian Carnival in 2018 and 2019. | | | |
| Decision detailsiii: | | | | |
| | Recommendations | | | |
| | The Chief Parks and Countryside Officer is recommended to approve the invocation of the following Contracts Procedure Rule: | | | |
| | Contracts procedure rules no 8.1 and 8.2 – Intermediate value procurements | | | |
| | The Chief Parks and Countryside Officer is further recommended to appoint Streetwise UK Management Ltd for the provision of traffic management services as identified in the report up to a value of £95,000 at Black Music Festival & Leeds West Indian Carnival 2018 & 2019. | | | |
| | Reason for contracts procedure rules waiver | | | |
| | The Event Manager of Streetwise UK Management Ltd has provided traffic management services at these events for Leeds City Council and have the specialist skills, qualified staff and extensive knowledge of the city's traffic network required for large scale events at the level agreed by LCC Highways, the event organisers and Safety Advisory Group members. | | | |
| | In addition to this they are the only traffic management company who can implement hostile vehicle mitigation measures and can source suitable barriers and install at various points within the vicinity of the events as identified by the Event Organisers and West Yorkshire Police. | | | |
| | The selection of the appropriate traffic management provision is paramount to the successful running of events to ensure that Leeds City Council have done everything reasonably practical by law to ensure public safety at events. | | | |
| | Conclusions | | | |
| | After working with the Event Manager of Streetwise UK Management Ltd at these events several years it is apparent that at this stage they provide the most suitable and reliable traffic management provision in keeping with Leeds City Council requirements for the varied and unique nature of our events. | | | |

| Type of | ☐ Key decision (executive) | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| decision: | Is the decision eligible for call-in? ^{iv} ☐ Yes ☐ No | | | | |
| | Is the decision exempt from call-in? ^v | | | | |
| | Significant operational decision (council or executive ^{vi} – not subject to call- | | | | |
| | in) | | | | |
| | Administrative decision (council or executive ^{vii} – not subject to publication or | | | | |
| | call-in) | | | | |
| Notice ^{viii} or call- | Date the decision was published in the list of forthcoming key decisions: | | | | |
| in (key decisions | | | | | |
| only): | If not on the list of forthcoming key decisions for at least 28 clear days, the | | | | |
| | reason why it would be impracticable to delay the decision: | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | If exempt from call-in, the reason why call-in would prejudice the interests of the | | | | |
| | council or the public: | | | | |
| Affected wards: | Chapel Allerton | | | | |
| Allected wards. | Onaper Anerton | | | | |
| Details of | Executive Member | Date consulted: | Interest disclosed?ix | | |
| | Executive Member | Date consulted. | Yes Date of dispensation: | | |
| consultation | | | No | | |
| undertaken: | Ward Councillor | Date consulted: | Interest disclosed? | | |
| | | | Yes Date of dispensation: | | |
| | | | No No | | |
| | Others ^x please | Date consulted: | Interest disclosed? | | |
| | specify: | | Yes Date of dispensation: | | |
| | | | ☐ No | | |
| | | | | | |
| Capital injection | | | | | |
| approval | Injection approval required? Yes No | | | | |
| required: | (If yes, you must complete the Approval box below) | | | | |
| Conitol | | | Capital achoma number: | | |
| Capital | | | Capital scheme number: XXXXX / XXX / XXX | | |
| Injection . | | Name: | N/A | | |
| approval | | Title: | Date: | | |
| | | TIUC. | Date. | | |

| Contract details (procurement decisions only) | Contract reference number | Contract title Supplier | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| Implementation | Officer accountable for implementation | | | | |
| (key decisions | | | | | |
| only) | Timescales for implementation ^{xi} | | | | |
| Contact person: | Mike Kinnaird | Telephone numberxii: 0113 378 6002 | | | |
| Decision maker | | Date: | | | |
| or authorised | Man | 14.08.18 | | | |
| signatory ^{xiii} : | 20 000 | | | | |
| | Name: Sean Flesher | | | | |

The leader of the council may also make executive decisions and should be specified as the lead director where appropriate.

ⁱⁱ A brief title should be inserted here. If the decision is key and has appeared on the list of forthcoming key decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that used in the list.

ⁱⁱⁱ Brief details of the decision should be inserted. This note must set out the substance of the decision, options considered and the reason for deciding on the chosen option, although care must be taken not to disclose any confidential or exempt information.

^{iv} See the executive and decision making procedure rules for eligibility. The decision will not be eligible for call-in if it has already been subject to call-in i.e. considered by the relevant scrutiny board. This includes a decision which has been modified by the decision maker following a recommendation by a scrutiny board after call-in of the earlier decision.

^v If the decision is exempt from call-in a reason must be provided in the 'notice or call-in' box and in the report. The call-in period expires at 5pm on the 5th working day after publication. Scrutiny support will notify decision makers of matters called-in no later than 12 noon on the 6th working day.

vi If the decision would have been a key decision but for an exception set out in article 13.4(b), please refer to the connected key decision in the decision details (either by the title or the reference number).

vii Administrative decisions do not need to be published on the council's website but this form may be used for internal recording of the decision.

viii All key decisions should appear on the list of forthcoming key decisions for 28 clear days before the decision can be taken. If 28 clear days' notice has not been provided, a reason must be provided here.

^{ix} No member having a disclosable pecuniary interest or officer having an interest in any matter (whether pecuniary or otherwise required to be declared) should take a decision in relation to that matter. Other interests of a non-disqualifying nature should be recorded here. Any dispensation in place in relation to the matter should also be recorded here.

^x This may include other elected members, officers, stakeholders and the local community.

xi Please include proposed timescales for commencement and / or completion of implementation as appropriate.

xii Please insert a complete telephone number whether land line or mobile, rather than an extension number so that you can be contacted from outside the council.

xiii The signatory must be duly authorised by the lead director to make a decision in accordance with the relevant sub-delegation scheme. It is not acceptable for the signature to be 'pp' for the authorised signatory. For key decisions only, the date of the authorised signature signifies that, at the time, the officer was content that the decision should be taken. However, should representations be received following public availability of reports the signatory will consider the effect which such representations should have on the final decision.